UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/563,315	01/04/2006	Takeshi Iwatsu	277510US6PCT	8351		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			SAFAIPOUR, BOBBAK			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2618			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/23/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,315	IWATSU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bobbak Safaipour	2618	

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bobbak Safaipour	2618				
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress			
	THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
	I. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply extres 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	in the final rejection, wh	vichever is later. In r			
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. It event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW						
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07	(f).					
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining theorism of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fe I statutory period for reply originally set	ee. The appropriate externing the final Office action	ension fee under 3/ ; or (2) & serblein (b)			
	2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two mont	hs of the date			
	of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		Ale a la compa fina			
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,				
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL -324).			
	5 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):					
	6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).						
	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro)	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
l	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
١	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	and hafara or on the data of filing a	Notice of Anneal will	not be entered			
	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
	9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apportry ory and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant to See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	alls to provide a (1).			
	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
	11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
١	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
١	13. Other:						
١							
١		•					

Bobbak Safaipour
Ry I / 10/15/07

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection will not be entered because they raise new issues that require further search and consideration. The proposed amendments to independent claims 1 and 13 do not include all of the limitations of dependent claim 4, indicated by the previous actions that claim 4 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the claim 4. Therefore, the proposed amendments will not be entered because they raise new issues that require further search and consideration.

10-15-07

LANA LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER